

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/511,737	02/24/2000	Daniel Yellin	P-5332-US	5521
27130 7	590 06/02/2004	EXAMINER		INER
EITAN, PEARL, LATZER & COHEN ZEDEK LLP			MAI, TAN V	
10 ROCKEFEI NEW YORK,	LLER PLAZA, SUITE 100 NY 10020	ART UNIT PAPER NU		PAPER NUMBER
			2124	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/511,737	YELLIN, DANIEL			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tan V Mai	2124			
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address -			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply openiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONI	mety filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/23/03, 1/8/04 & 3/8/04.  This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-3,9-11,13,16,18,23-27,29,30,41 and</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-3, 9-11, 13, 16, 18, 23-27, 29-30, 4</u> Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.  1 and 53-60 is/are rejected.	lication.			
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ne 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage			
Attachmen		A □ (445 - 150 - 450 -	. (DTO 442)			
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/511,737

Art Unit: 2124

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Claims 1-3, 9-11, 13, 16, 18, 23-27, 29-30, 41, 53-56, and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for "operating" logic function(s) [in functional units 44] "when all the inputs of the inputs of the respective functional unit 44 are valid" (i.e., see specification, page 6, line 23 to page 7, line 3 and Fig. 3), does not reasonably provide enablement for "disable the propagation into or within the adapter of a valid signal value received at the first input when an invalid signal value is received at the second input" (claim 1). Similarly noted other claims with "valid" feature(s). The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

It is noted that applicant's Fig. 3 discloses functional unit 44 for "operating" logic function(s) of input signals. In the specification, page 7, lines 9-11, discloses "[a]fter inputs In1 and In2 of adapter 42 become valid the enable line 46 of functional unit f3 enabled allowing the calculation of output Out3, which depends only on the In1 and In2 inputs". However, there is NO Figure and/or disclosure what is the validation of the signal(s).

The examiner contends that not only would it require undue experimentation to design the above "wave digital filter" which would perform the function(s) disclosed and <u>claimed</u>, but that it would also require undue experimentation for one of ordinary skill in the art to design working "wave digital filter" that would have "means for **validating**" the

Application/Control Number: 09/511,737

Art Unit: 2124

"signal value(s)" before performing the desired function(s) [by the functional units] as claimed.

3. Claims 11 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claim 11, "claim 7" is incorrect.

As per claim 60, the phrase "control unit is <u>able open</u> ... <u>based on the signal</u> <u>values they hold</u>" should be --control unit is <u>able open</u> ... <u>based on the valid signal</u> <u>values they hold</u>--.

4. Claims 57-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fettweis (Applicant's admission Prior Art).

As per independent claim 57, Fettweis, e.g., see Fig. 19(d), disclose all the claimed features except the "second latch"; however, the Fettweis' inverter "-1" is considered the same as the claimed "latch" because "latch" or "buffer" has two types "noninverting" and "inverting". It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the claimed invention according to Fettweis's teachings because the device is a wave digital filter having cascaded adapters as claimed.

As per dependent claim 58, Fettweis does show the claimed feature.

As per dependent claim 59, Fettweis "latch" / "register" (T/2, T) should have "control signal(s)" for propagating the stored "signal value(s)".

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/511,737

Art Unit: 2124

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan V. Mai whose telephone number is (703) 305-9761. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki, can be reached on (703) 305-9662. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are:

Official (703) 746-7239.777

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.